WCAG 2.2 AA onderzoek dutchcultureusa.com

WCAG 2.2 AA audit

Client: Ministerie van Buitenlandse Zaken
Website: dutchcultureusa.com
Report Version:
Date: July 09 2026

Cardan, Burgemeester Brokxlaan 32 5041 SB Tilburg
0885004070, contact@cardan.com, https://cardan.com

Table of Contents

Table of Contents 2

Summary 3

About this Audit 4

- Audit Details 4
- Scope of the Audit 5
- Audit Methodology and Applied Norm 5
- Baseline for Accessibility Support 5
- Questions? 5

Results Overview 6

- Audit Scores 9

Principle 1: Perceivable 10

- Guideline 1.1: Text Alternatives 10
- Guideline 1.2: Time-based Media 11
- Guideline 1.3: Adaptable 13
- Guideline 1.4: Distinguishable 15

Principle 2: Operable 18

- Guideline 2.1: Keyboard Accessible 18
- Guideline 2.2: Enough Time 19
- Guideline 2.3: Seizures and Physical Reactions 20
- Guideline 2.4: Navigable 21
- Guideline 2.5: Input Modalities 23

Principle 3: Understandable 25

- Guideline 3.1: Readable 25
- Guideline 3.2: Predictable 26
- Guideline 3.3: Input Assistance 28

Principle 4: Robust 30

- Guideline 4.1: Compatible 30

Sample 31

Additional Audit Details 32

- Used Techniques 32
- User Agents and Other Software 32
- Dependent Technologies 32
- About Cardan 32

Summary

The audit was conducted between July 08 2026 and July 09 2026.

The re-audit of dutchcultureusa.com shows that the website is accessible, achieving a high degree of compliance. All of the previously identified issues have now been resolved. My compliments!

About this Audit

We have conducted an audit to assess how well this website complies with the accessibility rules of WCAG 2.2, level A and AA. This audit is based on the European standard EN 301 549.

WCAG stands for *Web Content Accessibility Guidelines*. These are international guidelines for making digital content, such as websites and apps, accessible to everyone. WCAG is structured around four principles: Perceivable, Operable, Understandable, and Robust. Each principle includes success criteria (rules) that can be measured to determine whether a website is accessible.

Audit Details

What was audited?

During the audit, we took a sample. Within this sample, the auditor describes as many issues as possible. We clearly outline where the issues are located. If possible, the auditor also provides recommendations on how to resolve them. This is not a complete overview. The auditor provides examples. It is a snapshot: it shows which issues the auditor observed at that moment.

Check the entire website

Because this audit is based on a sample, we may not have identified all issues. We strive to make the sample as representative as possible, but despite this, the auditor may not notice some issues. These may emerge in future audits.

Therefore, check the entire website for similar issues. The identified problems may also occur elsewhere, both within and outside the sample.

When updating the website, new issues may arise. It is therefore important to conduct regular audits.

What is the difference between WCAG 2.1 and WCAG 2.2?

Since October 5, 2023, WCAG 2.2 has been the latest accessibility standard. It has not yet been incorporated into European regulations. At this moment, WCAG 2.1 is the legal standard. This means that the six new rules in WCAG 2.2 are not yet mandatory.

We recommend complying with WCAG 2.2 now. This will make the website more accessible and ready for future legislation. WCAG 2.2 introduces six new success criteria. Success Criterion 4.1.1 has been removed.

Scope of the Audit

The URL specifies why a part has or has not been included. This is in accordance with the rules for determining the scope in the WCAG-EM methodology.

- All pages on https://dutchcultureusa.com/ (Base URI and style characteristics)

Audit Methodology and Applied Norm

This audit was conducted in accordance with the WCAG-EM evaluation methodology. This method is recommended by DigiToegankelijk (Logius).

The applied standard is WCAG 2.2, Levels A and AA (https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG22/)

Baseline for Accessibility Support

Common web browsers and assistive technologies.

Questions?

If you have any content-related questions about this report, please contact us via contact@cardan.com.

Results Overview

Below is an overview of all WCAG 2.2 success criteria of level A and AA, divided across the four principles: Perceivable, Operable, Understandable, and Robust. Each success criterion includes a short description, the level, and whether the pages from the sample comply with the success criterion or not. If they do not comply with a success criterion, an overview of the findings that led to this conclusion can be found in the following chapters.

Perceivable

Criterion	Description	Level	Outcome
1.1.1	Non-text Content	A	Passed
1.2.1	Audio-only and Video-only (Prerecorded)	A	Not applicable
1.2.2	Captions (Prerecorded)	A	Not applicable
1.2.3	Audio Description or Media Alternative (Prerecorded)	A	Not applicable
1.2.4	Captions (Live)	AA	Not applicable
1.2.5	Audio Description (Prerecorded)	AA	Not applicable
1.3.1	Info and Relationships	A	Passed
1.3.2	Meaningful Sequence	A	Passed
1.3.3	Sensory Characteristics	A	Passed
1.3.4	Orientation	AA	Passed
1.3.5	Identify Input Purpose	AA	Passed
1.4.1	Use of Color	A	Passed
1.4.2	Audio Control	A	Not applicable
1.4.3	Contrast (Minimum)	AA	Passed
1.4.4	Resize Text	AA	Passed
1.4.5	Images of Text	AA	Passed
1.4.10	Reflow	AA	Passed
1.4.11	Non-text Contrast	AA	Passed
1.4.12	Text Spacing	AA	Passed
1.4.13	Content on Hover or Focus	AA	Passed

Operable

Criterion	Description	Level	Outcome
2.1.1	Keyboard	A	Passed
2.1.2	No Keyboard Trap	A	Passed
2.1.4	Character Key Shortcuts	A	Passed
2.2.1	Timing Adjustable	A	Passed
2.2.2	Pause, Stop, Hide	A	Not applicable
2.3.1	Three Flashes or Below Threshold	A	Passed
2.4.1	Bypass Blocks	A	Passed
2.4.2	Page Titled	A	Passed
2.4.3	Focus Order	A	Passed
2.4.4	Link Purpose (In Context)	A	Passed
2.4.5	Multiple Ways	AA	Passed
2.4.6	Headings and Labels	AA	Passed
2.4.7	Focus Visible	AA	Passed
2.4.11	Focus not obscured (minimum)	AA	Passed
2.5.1	Pointer Gestures	A	Passed
2.5.2	Pointer Cancellation	A	Passed
2.5.3	Label in name	A	Passed
2.5.4	Motion Actuation	A	Not applicable
2.5.7	Dragging Movements	AA	Passed
2.5.8	Target Size (Minimum)	AA	Passed

Understandable

Criterion	Description	Level	Outcome
3.1.1	Language of Page	A	Passed
3.1.2	Language of Parts	AA	Passed
3.2.1	On Focus	A	Passed
3.2.2	On Input	A	Passed

Criterion	Description	Level	Outcome
3.2.3	Consistent Navigation	AA	Passed
3.2.4	Consistent Identification	AA	Passed
3.2.6	Consistent help	A	Passed
3.3.1	Error Identification	A	Passed
3.3.2	Labels or Instructions	A	Passed
3.3.3	Error Suggestion	AA	Passed
3.3.4	Error Prevention (Legal, Financial, Data)	AA	Not applicable
3.3.7	Redundant Entry	A	Passed
3.3.8	Accessible authentication (minimum)	AA	Passed

Robust

Criterion	Description	Level	Outcome
4.1.2	Name, Role, Value	A	Passed
4.1.3	Status Messages	AA	Passed

Audit Scores

The results are shown here per principle and per level.

Principle 1: Perceivable

Information and user interface components must be presentable to users in ways they can perceive.

Guideline 1.1: Text Alternatives

Provide text alternatives for any non-text content so that it can be changed into other forms people need, such as large print, braille, speech, symbols or simpler language.

A

Success Criterion 1.1.1: Non-text Content

Provide text alternatives for non-text content.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 1.2: Time-based Media

Provide alternatives for time-based media.

A

Success Criterion 1.2.1: Audio-only and Video-only (Prerecorded)

Provide alternatives for time-based media.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

A

Success Criterion 1.2.2: Captions (Prerecorded)

Provide captions for all prerecorded audio content in synchronized media.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

A

Success Criterion 1.2.3: Audio Description or Media Alternative (Prerecorded)

Provide audio description for all prerecorded video content in synchronized media.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

AA

Success Criterion 1.2.4: Captions (Live)

Provide captions for all live audio content in synchronized media.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

AA

Success Criterion 1.2.5: Audio Description (Prerecorded)

Provide audio description for all prerecorded video content.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

Guideline 1.3: Adaptable

Create content that can be presented in different ways (for example simpler layout) without losing information or structure.

A

Success Criterion 1.3.1: Info and Relationships

Information and relationships conveyed through presentation can be programmatically determined.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 1.3.2: Meaningful Sequence

Content is presented in a meaningful order.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 1.3.3: Sensory Characteristics

Instructions provided for understanding and operating content do not rely solely on sensory characteristics.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.3.4: Orientation

The page must adapt when switching between portrait and landscape mode.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.3.5: Identify Input Purpose

The purpose of each input field collecting user data can be programmatically determined.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 1.4: Distinguishable

Make it easier for users to see and hear content including separating foreground from background.

A

Success Criterion 1.4.1: Use of Color

Color is not used as the only visual means of conveying information.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 1.4.2: Audio Control

If any audio on a Web page plays automatically for more than 3 seconds, a mechanism is available to pause or stop it.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.3: Contrast (Minimum)

All texts must have sufficient colour contrast. Tip: use the Colour Contrast Analyzer to determine the colour contrast.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.4: Resize Text

Text can be resized without assistive technology up to 200 percent without loss of content or functionality.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.5: Images of Text

Images of text are only used for decoration or where a particular presentation of text is essential.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.10: Reflow

Content can reflow to fit the screen of the device.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.11: Non-text Contrast

Graphics and user interface components have distinguishable contrast.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.12: Text Spacing

Text spacing is adaptable.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 1.4.13: Content on Hover or Focus

Additional content that appears on hover or focus can be dismissed.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Principle 2: Operable

User interface components and navigation must be operable.

Guideline 2.1: Keyboard Accessible

Make all functionality available from a keyboard.

A

Success Criterion 2.1.1: Keyboard

All functionality of the content is operable through a keyboard interface.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.1.2: No Keyboard Trap

If keyboard focus can be moved to a component, focus cannot be trapped there.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.1.4: Character Key Shortcuts

If a keyboard shortcut is implemented in content, it can be turned off or remapped by the user.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 2.2: Enough Time

Provide users enough time to read and use content.

A

Success Criterion 2.2.1: Timing Adjustable

Users can adjust time limits unless not possible or if essential.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.2.2: Pause, Stop, Hide

Users have the ability to pause, stop, or hide any moving, blinking, or scrolling information.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

Guideline 2.3: Seizures and Physical Reactions

Do not design content in a way that is known to cause seizures or physical reactions.

A

Success Criterion 2.3.1: Three Flashes or Below Threshold

Content does not contain anything that flashes more than three times in one second.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 2.4: Navigable

Provide ways to help users navigate, find content, and determine where they are.

A

Success Criterion 2.4.1: Bypass Blocks

Mechanisms are available to bypass blocks of content that are repeated on multiple Web pages.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.4.2: Page Titled

Web pages have titles that describe topic or purpose.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.4.3: Focus Order

If a Web page can be navigated sequentially, the navigation order can be programmatically determined.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.4.4: Link Purpose (In Context)

The purpose of each link can be determined from the link text alone or its context.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 2.4.5: Multiple Ways

More than one way is available to locate a Web page within a set of Web pages.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 2.4.6: Headings and Labels

Headings and labels describe topic or purpose.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 2.4.7: Focus Visible

Any keyboard operable user interface has a mode of operation where the keyboard focus indicator is visible.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 2.4.11: Focus not obscured (minimum)

When the focus is on an interactive component, it should not be covered by other content.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 2.5: Input Modalities

Make it easier for users to operate functionality through various inputs beyond keyboard.

A

Success Criterion 2.5.1: Pointer Gestures

Users can operate touch functions with a mouse, assistive technology or with one finger.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.5.2: Pointer Cancellation

If you click on something you should be able to cancel it by moving the mouse pointer.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.5.3: Label in name

For all interactive elements with visible text, this text is also available in the accessibility name.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 2.5.4: Motion Actuation

Functionality that is activated by moving the device (e.g. shaking) can be disabled and also controlled in a different way.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

AA

Success Criterion 2.5.7: Dragging Movements

If you can drag something then there should also be another way to do it with a mouse or finger without dragging.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 2.5.8: Target Size (Minimum)

Prevent problems by making clickable areas, such as links and buttons, large enough.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Principle 3: Understandable

Information and the operation of the user interface must be understandable.

Guideline 3.1: Readable

Make text content readable and understandable.

A

Success Criterion 3.1.1: Language of Page

Assistive software should be able to determine the language of the page so that, for example, the correct voice and intonation can be used.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 3.1.2: Language of Parts

The human language of each passage or phrase in the content can be programmatically determined.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 3.2: Predictable

Make Web pages appear and operate in predictable ways.

A

Success Criterion 3.2.1: On Focus

When any component receives focus, it does not initiate a change of context.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 3.2.2: On Input

Changing the setting of any user interface component does not automatically cause a change of context.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 3.2.3: Consistent Navigation

Navigational mechanisms that are repeated are presented in the same relative order each time they are repeated.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 3.2.4: Consistent Identification

Components that have the same functionality within a set of Web pages are identified consistently.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 3.2.6: Consistent help

If help is available on the website it will always be in the same place.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Guideline 3.3: Input Assistance

Help users avoid and correct mistakes.

A

Success Criterion 3.3.1: Error Identification

If an input error is detected, it is identified, and the error is described to the user in text.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

A

Success Criterion 3.3.2: Labels or Instructions

Labels or instructions are provided when content requires user input.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 3.3.3: Error Suggestion

If an input error is detected and suggestions for correction are known, then the suggestions are provided to the user.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 3.3.4: Error Prevention (Legal, Financial, Data)

For Web pages that require user input, elements need to be reviewed.

Result

Not present: None of the techniques for this success criterion are applicable.

A

Success Criterion 3.3.7: Redundant Entry

Avoid having to enter information multiple times in the same process.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 3.3.8: Accessible authentication (minimum)

Do not use a cognitive function test to log in to a web page.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Principle 4: Robust

Content must be robust enough that it can be interpreted by a wide variety of user agents, including assistive technologies.

Guideline 4.1: Compatible

Maximize compatibility with current and future user agents, including assistive technologies.

A

Success Criterion 4.1.2: Name, Role, Value

For all user interface components, the name and role can be programmatically determined.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

AA

Success Criterion 4.1.3: Status Messages

Status messages can be programmatically determined through role or properties.

Result

Met: The audited sample complies with this success criterion.

Sample

This audit was conducted based on a sample. The method by which the sample was determined is prescribed in the evaluation document WCAG-EM.

- https://dutchcultureusa.com/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/future400/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events-map/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/about-us/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/resources/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/regions/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/?s=Anne+frank
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events/six-dutch-participants-at-the-performa-biennial-2025-walking-tours/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events/rembrandt-in-southern-california/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events/mapping-dutch-art-in-the-united-states/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events/explore-dutch-new-york-with-new-audio-tours/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/events/vincent-van-gogh-at-dallas-museum-of-art/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/accessibility/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/disclaimer/
- https://dutchcultureusa.com/cities/

Additional Audit Details

Used Techniques

When conducting this audit, it was assumed that all techniques of the W3C are supported and may therefore be used. See: www.w3.org/WAI/WCAG22/Techniques

User Agents and Other Software

The following user agents were used during this audit:

- Google Chrome, versie 150 (primair)
- Mozilla FireFox, versie 152
- NVDA in combinatie met Google Chrome

Dependent Technologies

- HTML
- CSS
- WAI-ARIA
- JavaScript
- DOM
- SVG

About Cardan

This audit was conducted by Cardan.

Address: Burgemeester Brokxlaan 32 5041 SB Tilburg
Phone: 0885004070
Email: contact@cardan.com
Website: https://cardan.com

Dit onderzoek is uitgevoerd door Cardan. Als expert op het gebied van digitale toegankelijkheid helpen wij jou bij het toegankelijk maken van websites, apps en andere online dienstverlening.

CARDAN auditor

This audit on digital accessibility was conducted by Cardan with Cardan Auditor – the smart solution for analyzing and improving websites, apps, and other digital channels.